



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4481
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE CHOICE OF WETLAND VALUATION METHODS IN THE NIGER DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There are some basic requirements for statistical analysis. Only 60% questionnaire is retrieved, whether the questionnaire is effective? Whether the sample size (72) is enough to conduct the statistical analysis? 2. How do you calculate the RII? It should be explained. 3. It seems that only 55 samples are considered after Table 4. It needs to be clarified. 4. What is the feature of the study site, the Niger Delta, Nigeria? Is there some special characteristics make the valuation methods in this area different from that in other areas?	1. Not all the questionnaires retrieved were properly filled. Only the ones that are good were used for the analysis. 2. $RII = \frac{\sum a_i n_i}{\sum x_j}$ Where: i= response category index x_j= the sum of j factors 1,2,3 N a_i= constant expressing the weight given to the ith response. n_j= the variable expressing the frequency of the ith 3. Only those who had participated in wetland valuation answered the questions that followed and hence were used for the analysis. 4. Already included in the work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract: "Seventy (72)..." should be "Seventy-two..." 2. It is unnecessary to introduce "Significance of wetland" and "The need for valuation of wetlands" in detail. On the contrary, the literature review of wetland valuation especially for the valuation methods should be summarized systematically.	1. Already corrected. 2. This is necessary so as to let the readers understand the role of wetland in an economy and at the same time ensure proper perception about wetland and damages accruing to them due to lack/improper assessment of their values.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The PCA is not very necessary. The results are clear enough based on data in Table 5. PCA is usually used to identify a few key components from a number of factors. 2. The writing language need to be improved.	1. PCA was adopted as a tool to reduce the factors to the most important ones. Ok.
---	--	---